

O Spykman's Rimland Theory -

- o He wrote - "Geography of Peace" (1944)
- o Acc. to Spykman,
 - o Spykman's idea was a counter idea to Mackinder's idea.
 - o The Pivot area or Heartland acc. to Spykman was a region of disadvantage.
 - The Heartland front could be locked within its region.
 - o He viewed the Rimland Region - The Inner aspect of Eurasia with some of Island of outer aspect as the advantage.
 - o Rimland was Coasted Territory which had resources, access of ports of Global Trade, very high mobility & large population base.

- o As a counter to Mackinder, Spykman coined a slogan :-
"Who controls Rimland rules Eurasia & who rules Eurasia controls destiny of the world"
- o Acc. to Spykman, Geopolitical struggle was more about consolidating Rimland countries - mix of land & sea power

* Why it was not officially acknowledged by the USA, USA under its Presidents particularly since John F. Kennedy USA has followed the Principle of containment of communism - this was a philosophy made popular by George Kennan who had worked extensively in Russia

- o America's strategy can be seen in-
- ① Its positioning in Middle East.
 - ↳ Its unconditional support to



Israel & partnership with S. Arabia

- ② US investments in Iraq Gulf War
- ③ Vietnam War
- ④ Rise of Taliban after Afghan invasion by Russia in 1980's
- ⑤ Syrian war where - Bashar-al-Assad had support of Russia with the US

pushback from Israel

- o The new strategy in the use of concept of INDO-PACIFIC also reaffirms the significance of Rimland.
- o India's own investments in Chabahar port; India's interest in the Indian Ocean, BIMSTEC; India's outreach to East Africa & its Act East Policy
- o Rimland's importance in China's silk route strategy & string pearls strategy.



- * o In India's Indian Ocean strategy we can see elements of Rimland concept - India as Net-security Provider (Military, Env security, Disaster Mgmt., Piracy, Managing smuggling) & Maritime domain awareness, India's Deep Ocean Mission, ^(Port development) Project Mausam (aims to promote

(aims to promote
India's soft power
in Indian Ocean Region
- shared cultural
heritage)

- India's Foreign Policy - there is an element of Mackinder's Heartland theory :-
 - ① India's engagement with Afghanistan
 - ② India's collaboration with Iran w.r.t Chabahar Zahedan Zaranj Corridor

③ India - part of INSTC

Heartland's Applicability relevance
w.r.t India

Analysis of both Theories -

- o A very interesting "interpretation" of how Geo. impacts Geopolitics
- o Mackinder's predictions were fairly accurate
 - predicting German's Pan-ambition, Rise of Russia, predict" of cold war.
- o Spykman have seems to have influenced US Policy

Criticisms of Theories :-

- o Geographical relevance is narrowly interpreted
- o In these Theories, "Locat" has been a dominant considerat" in analysis.
Evolving geopolitics

Geopolitics have evolved over years -
it has evolved to include many many
non military elements. (Sci., Tech., Trade,
Development, cultural elements, Economic
aspects etc), Population & culture are
as imp. elements of Geopolitics now as
the conventional Army, Navy etc.)

- Mackinder's & Spykman's theory are
very conventional based on Military
conflicts. Modern conflicts include -
trade wars, currency wars, cyber
security & climate change issues
- In contemporary Geopolitics, the role
of non-state actors are very imp. &
have increased (companies, individuals,
MNCs etc)

- Both the theories have been interpreted assuming Earth to be flat - 2D

surface with America & Russia positioned in left & right corners, As used Mercator's map that inaccurately depicts location & the size of temperate countries

- Mackinder also did not consider the missiles & airforce as element of military security [this is surprising because, he had witnessed role of Airforce right through WW-II]